

<b><u>Scrutiny Topic Selection Matrix</u></b>	<b>1. Represents a key issue for local people.</b>	<b>2. The issue is strategic and significant.</b>	<b>3. The topic falls within a community or corporate priority area.</b>	<b>4. Scrutiny of the issue will lead to effective outcome.</b>	<b>5. Had the topic been covered elsewhere? <i>(Yes = low score No = high score)</i></b>	<b>6. Represents an issue of concern to stakeholders and partners.</b>	<b>7. The issue is of community concern or there is a high level of dissatisfaction with one or more services.</b>	<b>8. The scrutiny activity is timely.</b>	<b>Score</b>	<b>Rank</b>	<b>Review Type</b>
<b>Monitoring of Savings Schedule</b>	5	5	5	4	3	5	5	5	<b>37</b>	<b>1</b>	R
<b>Freedom Leisure Contract</b>	4	3	4	3	3	2	2	3	<b>24</b>	<b>2</b>	R

Each of the topics are scored for degree of 'fit', e.g. 1 (low) to 5 (high). The reviews with the best fit achieve the highest score.

1. Represents a key issue for local people.
2. The issue is strategic and significant.
3. The topic falls within a community or corporate priority area.
4. Scrutiny of the issue will lead to effective outcomes.
5. Has the topic been covered elsewhere in other services? (Yes = low score No = high score)
6. Represents an issue of concern to stakeholders and partners
7. The issue is of community concern or there is a high level dissatisfaction with one or more services.
8. The scrutiny activity is timely.

Review Type:

Each topic has an indicated review type: Task Group (TG), One-off report or Presentation (R), Member Briefing (B), Other (O)

<b>Score</b>	<b>What the score means</b>
<b>31 to 40</b>	The issue/item has a high likelihood of entering the Scrutiny Work Programme but should be prioritised according to score
<b>20 to 30</b>	Item/issue has less chance of gaining a place on the Scrutiny Work Programme and should be held in abeyance
<b>Under 20</b>	Item/issue should not normally gain a place on the Scrutiny Work Programme